

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
09/740,751	12/19/2000	Tongbi Jiang	23804-P002C2	8773	
7590 02/28/2006			EXAMINER		
TERRIL G. LEWIS			CHANG, RICK KILTAE		
WONG CABELLO, LLP 20333 SH 249, SUITE 600 HOUSTON, TX 77070			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			3729		
			DATE MAILED: 02/28/200	DATE MAILED: 02/28/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Application No.				
Application No.	Applicant(s)			
09/740,751	JIANG ET AL.			
Examiner	Art Unit			
Rick K. Chang	3729			
appears on the cover sheet w	rith the correspondence address			
B DATE OF THIS COMMUNI R 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a	reply be timely filed NTHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
7 December 2005.				
This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final.				
Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is				
er <i>Ex par</i> te <i>Quayle</i> , 1935 C.[D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.			
ation. in item 6 below is/are withdr e rejected. d/or election requirement.	awn from consideration.			
	•			
inor				
	by the Examiner			
	g(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
	d Office Action or form PTO-152.			
ign priority under 35 U.S.C. (ents have been received. ents have been received in Apriority documents have been eau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Application No received in this National Stage			
4) 🗖 Intonúm	Summany (PTO 412)			
Paper No(Summary (PTO-413) s)/Mail Date nformal Patent Application (PTO-152)			
	Examiner Rick K. Chang PLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 No DATE OF THIS COMMUNICAL 136(a). In no event, however, may a strict of will apply and will expire SIX (6) MO atute, cause the application to become A sailing date of this communication, even in the series of the series			

Application/Control Number: 09/740,751

Art Unit: 3729

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 18-19, 30 and 47 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Burgess (US 4,642,160) in view of Liebowitz (US 4,513,055).

Burgess discloses first 16 and second 18 materials, Fig. 7 shows 28, 12 is a pad, 16 is a positive CTE, 16 is thicker than 18, except for a negative CTE for second material.

Liebowitz discloses a negative CTE for second material (col. 3, lines 30-31).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Burgess by providing a negative CTE for second material, as taught by Liebowitz, for the purpose of preventing unwanted expansion and contraction when the electronic component is mounted on a PCB.

3. Claims 20-21 and 48-49 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Burgess (US 4,642,160)/Liebowitz (US 4,513,055) as applied to claims 18 and 47 above, and further in view of Sanjana et al (US 4,590,539).

Burgess/Liebowitz fail to disclose silicon oxide and polyimide.

Sanjana discloses polyimide (col. 1, lines 53-54).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Burgess/Liebowitz by providing polyimide, as taught by Sanjana, for the purpose of manufacturing a PCB without E-glass fabrics.

At the time the invention was made, it would have been an obvious matter of design choice to a person of ordinary skill in the art to provide silicon oxide because Applicant has not disclosed that incorporating silicon oxide provides a novel device, is used for a particular purpose, or solves a stated problem. Therefore, it would have been an obvious matter of design choice to modify Burgess/Liebowitz to obtain the invention as specified in claims 20-21.

4. Claims 22-25 and 50-52 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Burgess (US 4,642,160)/Liebowitz (US 4,513,055) as applied to claims 18 and 47 above, and further in view of Anderson et al (US 6,195,193).

Burgess/Liebowitz fail to disclose single-crystal, amorphous or polymer bound Zirconium tungstate.

Anderson discloses Zirconium tungstate (col. 15, line 10).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Burgess/Liebowitz by providing Zirconium tungstate, as taught by Anderson, for the purpose preventing unwanted expansion and contraction when the electronic component is mounted on a PCB.

At the time the invention was made, it would have been an obvious matter of design choice to a person of ordinary skill in the art to provide single-crystal, amorphous or polymer bound Zirconium tungstate because Applicant has not disclosed that incorporating single-crystal, amorphous or polymer bound Zirconium tungstate provides a novel device, is used for a

Application/Control Number: 09/740,751 Page 4

Art Unit: 3729

particular purpose, or solves a stated problem. Therefore, it would have been an obvious matter of design choice to modify Burgess/Liebowitz to obtain the invention as specified in claims 22-25.

5. Claims 27-28 and 54-55 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Burgess (US 4,642,160)/Liebowitz (US 4,513,055) as applied to claims 18 and 47 above, and further in view of Wilson (US 5,966,803).

Burgess/Liebowitz fail to disclose that the substrate is ceramic and a package of an IC.

Wilson discloses that the substrate is ceramic and a package of an IC (col. 1, line 57).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Burgess/Liebowitz by providing ceramic and a package of an IC, as taught by Wilson, for the purpose of forming an electronic device using cheap materials.

6. Claim 29 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Burgess (US 4,642,160)/Liebowitz (US 4,513,055) as applied to claim 18 above, and further in view of Official Notice.

Burgess/Liebowitz fail to disclose forming the first material using a spin-on process followed by a photo-define and —etch process.

Official Notice is taken that it is well known in the art to form a polymer material using a spin-on process followed by a photo-define and —etch process to apply uncured material on a substrate and to form vias therein.

Allowable Subject Matter

Application/Control Number: 09/740,751 Page 5

Art Unit: 3729

7. Claims 26 and 53 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Response to Arguments

- 8. Applicant's arguments filed 12/27/05 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.
- Col. 6, ll 1-10 discloses that the ratio of the positive and negative CTE can be varied as desired.

Fig. 3 shows the copper layer above the positive and/or negative CTE material to control unwanted thermal expansion and contraction.

Interviews After Final

9. Applicant note that an interview after a final rejection must be submitted briefly in writing the intended purpose and content of the interview (the agenda of the interview must be in writing). Upon review of the agenda, the Examiner may grant the interview if the examiner is convinced that disposal or clarification for appeal may be accomplished with only nominal further consideration. Interviews merely to restate arguments of record or to discuss new limitations will be denied. See MPEP 714.13 and 713.09.

Conclusion

10. Please provide reference numerals (either in parentheses next to the claimed limitation or in a table format with one column listing the claimed limitation and another column listing corresponding reference numerals in the remark section of the response to the Office Action) to all the claimed limitations as well as support in the disclosure for

Art Unit: 3729

better clarity (optional). Applicants are duly reminded that a full and proper response to this Office Action that includes any amendment to the claims and specification of the application as originally filed requires that the applicant point out the support for any amendment made to the disclosure, including the claims. See 37 CFR 1.111 and MPEP 2163.06.

11. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

12. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Rick K. Chang whose telephone number is (571) 272-4564. The examiner can normally be reached on 5:30 AM to 1:30 PM, Monday through Thursday.

The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (571) 273-8300 for regular communications and (571) 273-8300 for After Final communications.

Application/Control Number: 09/740,751

Art Unit: 3729

Page 7

RICHARD CHANG PRIMARY EXAMINER

RC

February 22, 2006